ON THE EXISTENCE OF FORCED OSCILLATIONS OF RETARDED FUNCTIONAL MOTION EQUATIONS ON TOPOLOGICALLY NONTRIVIAL MANIFOLDS
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Abstract. Using a topological approach, based on the fixed point index theory for locally compact maps on metric ANRs, we prove the existence of forced oscillations for retarded functional motion equations defined on topologically nontrivial compact constraints, provided that the frictional coefficient is nonzero. We do not know if an analogous result holds true in the frictionless case.
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1. Introduction

Consider a compact boundaryless smooth manifold $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^s$ and denote by $BU((-\infty, 0], M)$ the space of bounded and uniformly continuous maps from $(-\infty, 0]$ into $M$ with the topology of the uniform convergence. In this paper we study a retarded functional motion equation on $M$ of the type

\begin{equation}
 x''_t(t) = f(t, x_t) - \varepsilon x'_t(t),
\end{equation}

where

1. $x''_t(t)$ stands for the tangential part of the acceleration $x''(t) \in \mathbb{R}^s$ at the point $x(t) \in M$,
2. the frictional coefficient $\varepsilon$ is a positive constant,
3. the applied force $f : \mathbb{R} \times BU((-\infty, 0], M) \to \mathbb{R}^s$ is continuous, $T$-periodic in the first variable and such that $f(t, \varphi) \in T_{\varphi(0)} M$ for all $(t, \varphi)$, where $T_p M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^s$ stands for the tangent space of $M$ at a point $p$ of $M$.

We will call functional field a continuous map $f : \mathbb{R} \times BU((-\infty, 0], M) \to \mathbb{R}^s$ verifying the above tangency condition. In addition, let us recall that, given any map $x$, defined on a real interval $J$ with $\inf J = -\infty$, and given $t \in J$, $x_t$ denotes the map $\theta \mapsto x(\theta + t)$, defined on $(-\infty, 0]$.

The main result of this work, Theorem 4.1 below, shows that the equation (1.1) admits at least one $T$-periodic solution (a forced oscillation), provided that $M$ has nontrivial Euler-Poincaré characteristic and $f$ is bounded and verifies a sort of Lipschitz condition. This result provides a positive answer to a conjecture recently formulated in [4]. A key tool that allowed us to solve our conjecture is Lemma 3.1 below, proved in [10].

An existence result for a similar problem has been obtained in [1] (see also [2, 3]), with the difference that, in [1], the function $f$ is defined and continuous on $\mathbb{R} \times C((-\infty, 0], M)$ endowed with the compact-open topology. The continuity
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assumption of $f$ on $\mathbb{R} \times C((-\infty,0], M)$ is more restrictive than the hypothesis of continuity on $\mathbb{R} \times BU((-\infty,0], M)$, since the compact-open topology on $C((-\infty,0], M)$ induces on $BU((-\infty,0], M)$ a topology which is weaker than that of uniform convergence. This means that the existence of forced oscillations for (1.1), proved in this paper, is not a byproduct of the analogous result given in [1], whose proof, in addition, does not fit in the present context.

To get our main result we consider a first order retarded functional differential equation (RFDE for short) on the tangent bundle $TM \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2s}$, which turns out to be equivalent to the above second order equation (1.1). More precisely, in the first part of the paper we study a first order RFDE of the type

$$x'(t) = g(t, x_t),$$

(1.2)

where $g: \mathbb{R} \times BU((-\infty,0], N) \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is a functional field over a boundaryless smooth manifold $N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$.

Assuming that $g$ is $T$-periodic in the first variable, we tackle the problem of the existence of $T$-periodic solutions of equation (1.2). More generally, given a closed subset $X$ of $N$, we study the existence of confined $T$-periodic solutions, that is, $T$-periodic solutions having image in $X$.

The main result of the first part of the paper, Theorem 3.2 below, states that the equation (1.2) admits a confined $T$-periodic solution provided that $X$ is a compact absolute neighborhood retract (ANR), with nonzero Euler–Poincaré characteristic, and the functional field $g$ satisfies some additional conditions. The proof is given by applying the fixed point index theory for locally compact maps on ANRs to a sort of Poincaré $T$-translation operator acting in a suitable subset of the Banach space $C([-T,0], \mathbb{R}^k)$.

For general reference on RFDEs we suggest the monograph by Hale and Verduyn Lunel [16]. For RFDEs with finite delay in Euclidean spaces, we refer also to the works of Gaines and Mawhin [11], Nussbaum [22, 23] and Mallet-Paret, Nussbaum and Paraskevopoulos [19]. For RFDEs with infinite delay in Euclidean spaces, we recommend the article of Hale and Kato [15] and, book by Hino, Murakami and Naito [17] and the recent paper by Oliva and Rocha [26]. Finally, for RFDEs with finite delay on manifolds we cite the papers of Oliva [24, 25].

2. Preliminaries

Given a subset $A$ of $\mathbb{R}^k$, we will denote by $BU((-\infty,0], A)$ the set of bounded and uniformly continuous maps from $(-\infty,0]$ into $A$ with the topology of the uniform convergence. Clearly, $BU((-\infty,0], A)$ is a metric subspace of the Banach space $BU((-\infty,0], \mathbb{R}^k)$ and is complete if and only if $A$ is closed. For brevity, throughout the paper we will use the notation

$$\tilde{A} := BU((-\infty,0], A).$$

Moreover, the norm in $\mathbb{R}^k$ will be denoted by $| \cdot |$ and the norm in $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^k$ by $\| \cdot \|$.

A vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is said to be inward to $A$ at a given point $p$ in the closure $\overline{A}$ of $A$ if there exist two sequences $\{ \alpha_n \}$ in $[0, +\infty)$ and $\{ p_n \}$ in $A$ such that

$$p_n \to p \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_n (p_n - p) \to v.$$  

The set $C_p A$ of the inward vectors to $A$ at $p$ is called the tangent cone of $A$ at $p$ (see [6]). One can easily check that the tangent cone is always closed in $\mathbb{R}^k$. The vector subspace of $\mathbb{R}^k$ spanned by $C_p A$ is the tangent space $T_p A$ of $A$ at $p$, whose elements are the tangent vectors to $A$ at $p$. 
To simplify some statements and definitions we put $C_pA = T_pA = \emptyset$ whenever $p$ does not belong to $\overline{A}$ (this can be regarded as a consequence of the definition of inward vector if one replaces the assumption $p \in A$ with $p \in \mathbb{R}^k$).

Observe that $T_pA$ is the trivial subspace $\{0\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^k$ if and only if $p$ is an isolated point of $A$. In fact, if $p$ is a limit point, then, given any $\{p_n\}$ in $A \setminus \{p\}$ such that $p_n \to p$, the sequence $\{\alpha_n(p_n - p)\}$, with $\alpha_n = 1/|p_n - p|$, admits a convergent subsequence whose limit is a unit vector. On the other hand, if $p$ is an isolated point of $A$, the unique inward vector is the null one since the unique sequence $\{p_n\}$ in $A$ convergent to $p$ is the constant sequence coinciding with $p$.

One can show that, in the special and important case when $A$ is a smooth differentiable manifold with (possibly empty) boundary $\partial A$ (a $\partial$-manifold for short), this definition of tangent space is equivalent to the classical one (see for instance [14, 20]). Moreover, if $p \in \partial A$, $C_pA$ is a closed half-space in $T_pA$ (delimited by $T_p\partial A$), while $C_pA = T_pA$ if $p \in A \setminus \partial A$.

2.1. Initial value problem. Let $N$ be a boundaryless smooth manifold in $\mathbb{R}^k$. We say that a continuous map $g: \mathbb{R} \times \tilde{N} \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is a retarded functional tangent vector field over $N$ if $g(t, \varphi) \in T_{\varphi(0)}N$ for all $(t, \varphi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \tilde{N}$. To simplify the notation, in the sequel we frequently call $g$ a functional field (over $N$).

Let us consider a retarded functional differential equation (RFDE for short) of the type

$$x'(t) = g(t, x_t), \tag{2.1}$$

where $g: \mathbb{R} \times \tilde{N} \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is a functional field over $N$. Here, as usual and whenever it makes sense, given $t \in \mathbb{R}$, by $x_t \in \tilde{N}$ we mean the function $\theta \mapsto x(t + \theta)$.

A solution of (2.1) is a function $x: J \to N$, defined on an open real interval $J$ with $\inf J = -\infty$, bounded and uniformly continuous on any closed half-line $(-\infty, b] \subset J$, and which verifies eventually the equality $x'(t) = g(t, x_t)$. That is, $x$ is a solution of (2.1) if there exists $\tau$, with $-\infty \leq \tau < \sup J$, such that $x$ is $C^1$ on the subinterval $(\tau, \sup J)$ of $J$, and verifies $x'(t) = g(t, x_t)$ for all $t \in (\tau, \sup J)$.

Observe that the derivative of a solution $x$ may not exist at $t = \tau$. However, the right derivative $D_+x(\tau)$ of $x$ at $\tau$ always exists and is equal to $g(\tau, x_\tau)$. Also, notice that, since $x$ is uniformly continuous on any closed half-line $(-\infty, b]$ of $J$, then $t \mapsto x_t$ is a continuous curve in $\tilde{N}$.

A solution of (2.1) is said to be maximal if it is not a proper restriction of another solution to the same equation. As in the case of ODEs, Zorn’s lemma implies that any solution is the restriction of a maximal solution.

In what follows, given $\eta \in \tilde{N}$, we will also consider the initial value problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x'(t) = g(t, x_t), \\ x_0 = \eta. \end{array} \right. \tag{2.2}$$

A solution of (2.2) is a solution $x: J \to N$ of (2.1) such that $\sup J > 0$, $x'(t) = g(t, x_t)$ for $t > 0$, and $x_0 = \eta$.

Moreover, given a relatively closed subset $X$ of $N$, if one takes $\eta \in \tilde{X}$, then problem (2.2) will be called the confined problem and any $X$-valued solution of (2.2) a confined solution. For instance, $X$ could be a $\partial$-manifold of the type $\{p \in N: F(p) \leq 0\}$, where the “cutting function” $F: N \to \mathbb{R}$ is smooth, having $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ as a regular value (this is the situation considered in Section 4). Furthermore, $N$ could be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^k$ and $X$ one of its connected components.

Following [4], we say that the functional field $g: \mathbb{R} \times \tilde{N} \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is away from $N$ at $p \in X$ if either $g(t, \varphi) \not\in C_p(N \setminus X)$ for all $(t, \varphi)$ with $\varphi(0) = p$ or $g(t, \varphi) = 0$ for all $(t, \varphi)$ with $\varphi(0) = p$. We point out that this condition is obviously satisfied whenever $p$, which is a point of $X$, is not in the topological boundary of $X$ relative
to $N$ since, in that case, $C_p(N \setminus X) = \emptyset$. Notice that this condition is also satisfied when $X = N$, since $C_p(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. If $g$ is away from $N$ at any $p \in X$, we say that $g$ is away from $N$ in $X$.

Theorem 2.1 below is a particular case of a global existence result for the confined case (see [4, Theorem 3.9]; see also [1, Lemma 2.1]).

**Theorem 2.1** (confined global existence). Let $X$ be a compact subset of a boundaryless smooth manifold $N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ and $g: \mathbb{R} \times \tilde{N} \to \mathbb{R}^k$ a functional field away from $N$ in $X$. Assume that $g(\mathbb{R} \times X)$ is bounded. Then, any maximal solution of the confined problem (2.2) is defined on the whole real line.

The continuous dependence of the solutions on initial data is stated in Theorem 2.2 below and is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 4.4 of [4].

**Theorem 2.2** (continuous dependence). Let $N$ be a boundaryless smooth manifold and $g: \mathbb{R} \times \tilde{N} \to \mathbb{R}^k$ a functional field. Assume the uniqueness of the maximal solution of problem (2.2). Then, given $T > 0$, the set

$$D = \{ \eta \in \tilde{N} : \text{the maximal solution of (2.2) is defined up to } T \}$$

is open and the map that associates to any $\eta \in D$ the restriction to $[0, T]$ of the unique maximal solution of problem (2.2) is continuous.

### 2.2. Fixed point index.
We recall that a metrizable space $X$ is an absolute neighborhood retract (ANR) if, whenever it is homeomorphically embedded as a closed subset $C$ of a metric space $Y$, there exists an open neighborhood $V$ of $C$ in $Y$ and a retraction $r: V \to C$ (see e.g. [5, 13]). Polyhedra and differentiable manifolds are examples of ANRs. Let us also recall that a continuous map between topological spaces is called locally compact if it has the property that each point in its domain has a neighborhood whose image is contained in a compact set.

Let $X$ be a metric ANR and consider a locally compact (continuous) $X$-valued map $k$ defined on a subset $\mathcal{D}(k)$ of $X$. Given an open subset $U$ of $X$ contained in $\mathcal{D}(k)$, if the set of fixed points of $k$ in $U$ is compact, the pair $(k, U)$ is called admissible. It is known that to any admissible pair $(k, U)$ we can associate an integer $\text{ind}_X(k, U)$ -- the fixed point index of $k$ in $U$ -- which satisfies properties analogous to those of the classical Leray–Schauder degree [18]. The reader can see for instance [7, 12, 21, 23] for a comprehensive presentation of the index theory for ANRs. As regards the connection with the homology theory we refer to standard algebraic topology textbooks (e.g. [8, 27]).

We summarize below the main properties of the fixed point index.

i) (Existence) If $\text{ind}_X(k, U) \neq 0$, then $k$ admits at least one fixed point in $U$.

ii) (Normalization) If $X$ is compact, then $\text{ind}_X(k, X) = \Lambda(k)$, where $\Lambda(k)$ denotes the Lefschetz number of $k$.

iii) (Additivity) Given two disjoint open subsets $U_1, U_2$ of $U$ such that any fixed point of $k$ in $U$ is contained in $U_1 \cup U_2$, then $\text{ind}_X(k, U) = \text{ind}_X(k, U_1) + \text{ind}_X(k, U_2)$.

iv) (Excision) Given an open subset $U_1$ of $U$ such that $k$ has no fixed points in $U \setminus U_1$, then $\text{ind}_X(k, U) = \text{ind}_X(k, U_1)$.

v) (Commutativity) Let $X$ and $Y$ be metric ANRs. Suppose that $U$ and $V$ are open subsets of $X$ and $Y$ respectively and that $k: U \to Y$ and $h: V \to X$ are locally compact maps. Assume that one of the sets of fixed points of $kh$ in $k^{-1}(V)$ or $kh$ in $h^{-1}(U)$ is compact. Then the other set is compact as well and $\text{ind}_X(\text{ind}_X(kh, k^{-1}(V)) = \text{ind}_Y(kh, h^{-1}(U))$.

vi) (Homotopy invariance) Let $H: U \times [0, 1] \to X$ be a locally compact map such that the set $\{(x, \lambda) \in U \times [0, 1] : H(x, \lambda) = x \}$ is compact. Then $\text{ind}_X(H(\cdot, \lambda), U)$ is independent of $\lambda$. 


3. Existence of periodic solutions

Let \( N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k \) be a boundaryless differentiable manifold and \( X \subseteq N \) a compact ANR. Given \( T > 0 \), denote by \( \hat{X} := C([-T,0],X) \) the metric subspace of \( C([-T,0],\mathbb{R}^k) \) of the \( X \)-valued continuous function on \([-T,0] \) and by \( \hat{X}_0 \) the set \( \{ \psi \in \hat{X} : \psi(-T) = \psi(0) \} \). Observe that \( \hat{X} \) is complete since \( X \) is closed. Moreover, it is not difficult to show that \( \hat{X} \) is itself an ANR.

Let \( g : \mathbb{R} \times \hat{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \) be a functional field. Given \( T > 0 \), assume that \( g \) is \( T \)-periodic in the first variable. We are interested in proving the existence of \( \lambda \) depending on the parameter interval \([0, \tilde{T}]\) of the parametrized confined problem

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}(t) = g(t, x_t) \\
x_0 = \eta,
\end{array} \right.
\]

(3.1)

depending on the parameter \( \lambda \in [0, \tilde{T}] \). Our aim is to define a parametrized Poincaré-type \( T \)-translation operator whose fixed points are the restrictions to the interval \([-T,0] \) of the \( T \)-periodic solutions of (3.1). For this purpose, we need to introduce a suitable backward extension of the elements of \( \hat{X} \). The properties of such an extension are contained in Lemma 3.1 below, obtained in [10]. In what follows, by a \( T \)-periodic map defined on \((-\infty, 0]\) (or on \((-\infty, -T]\)) we mean the restriction of a \( T \)-periodic map on \( \mathbb{R} \).

**Lemma 3.1.** There exist an open neighborhood \( U \) of \( \hat{X}_0 \) in \( \hat{X} \) and a continuous map from \( U \) to \( \hat{X} \), \( \psi \mapsto \tilde{\psi} \), with the following properties:

1) \( \tilde{\psi} \) is an extension of \( \psi \);
2) \( \tilde{\psi} \) is \( T \)-periodic on \((-\infty, -T]\);
3) \( \tilde{\psi} \) is \( T \)-periodic on \((-\infty, 0]\), whenever \( \psi \in \hat{X}_0 \).

Let us now state our existence result.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let \( N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k \) be a boundaryless smooth manifold and \( g : \mathbb{R} \times \hat{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \) a \( T \)-periodic functional field. Let \( X \subseteq N \) be a compact ANR with Euler-Poincaré characteristic \( \chi(X) \neq 0 \). Assume that \( g \) is away from \( N \) in \( X \) and that \( g(\mathbb{R} \times \hat{X}) \) is bounded. Also assume that, for any \( \eta \in \hat{X} \), the maximal solution of problem (2.2) is unique. Then, the equation \( x'(t) = g(t, x_t) \) has a \( T \)-periodic solution in \( X \).

**Proof.** Given \( \eta \in \hat{X} \) and \( \lambda \in [0, \tilde{T}] \), let \( x(\eta, \lambda, \cdot) \) be the \( X \)-valued maximal solution of the parametrized confined problem

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}(t) = \lambda g(t, x_t), \\
x_0 = \eta,
\end{array} \right.
\]

(3.2)

whose global existence is ensured by Theorem 2.1 (observe that \( \lambda g \) is still away from \( N \) in \( X \) even for \( \lambda = 0 \)). Let now \( U \) be an open neighborhood of \( \hat{X}_0 \) in \( \hat{X} \) as in Lemma 3.1 and consider the homotopy \( P : U \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \hat{X} \) defined by \( P(\psi, \lambda)(\theta) = x(\psi, \lambda, T + \theta) \), where \( \psi \in \hat{X} \) is the continuous extension of \( \psi \) as in Lemma 3.1.

By an argument similar to that used in [2, Proposition 3.2], we get that \( \tilde{\psi} \in U \) is a fixed point of \( P(\cdot, \lambda) \), \( \lambda \in [0, \tilde{T}] \), if and only if it is the restriction to \([-T,0]\) of a \( T \)-periodic solution of (3.1).

Let us show that \( P \) is admissible for the fixed point index.

**P is continuous.** Consider the problem

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}(t) = \mu g(t, x_t), \\
\mu'(t) = 0, \\
x_0 = \eta, \\
\mu(0) = \lambda.
\end{array} \right.
\]

(3.3)
The continuity of $P$ follows immediately by Lemma 3.1 and by applying Theorem 2.2 to the auxiliary problem (3.3).

The image of $P$ is contained in a compact subset of $\hat{X}$. By assumption, there exists $c > 0$ such that $|g(t, \varphi)| \leq c$ for any $(t, \varphi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \hat{X}$. Hence, $P(U \times [0,1])$ is contained in the set $K = \{y \in \hat{X} : |g'(t)| \leq c\}$ which is compact by Ascoli’s theorem, since $X$ is bounded and $\hat{X}$ complete.

The set $\{(\psi, \lambda) \in U \times [0,1] : P(\psi, \lambda) = \psi\}$ is compact. Observe that, for any $\lambda \in [0,1]$, the set $\{\psi \in U : P(\psi, \lambda) = \psi\}$ is contained in $K \cap \hat{X}_0$ that is clearly a compact subset of $U$.

The three steps proved above imply that $P$ is an admissible homotopy in $U$. Consequently, by the homotopy invariance of the fixed point index, we get

$$\text{ind}_\hat{X}(P(\cdot, 1), U) = \text{ind}_\hat{X}(P(\cdot, 0), U).$$

Now, observe that $P(\cdot, 0)$ sends $U$ onto the subset of $\hat{X}_0 \subseteq U$ of the constant $X$-valued functions, which will be identified with $X$ itself. According to this identification, the restriction $P(\cdot, 0)|_X$ coincides with the identity $I_X$ of $X$. Therefore, by the commutativity and normalization properties of the fixed point index, we get

$$\text{ind}_X(P(\cdot, 0), U) = \text{ind}_X(P(\cdot, 0)|_X, X) = \Lambda(I_X).$$

As well-known, the Lefschetz number $\Lambda(I_X)$ coincides with the Euler-Poincaré characteristic $\chi(X)$ of $X$ that, by assumption, is nonzero. Hence,

$$\text{ind}_X(P(\cdot, 1), U) = \chi(X) \neq 0,$$

which implies that $P(\cdot, 1)$ has a fixed point in $U$. Thus, as previously observed, this is equivalent to the existence of a $T$-periodic solution of equation (2.1), as claimed.

\[\Box\]

Remark 3.3. We believe that the above existence result is still valid without the uniqueness assumption on the solutions of the initial value problem.

Remark 3.4. A functional field $g : \mathbb{R} \times \hat{N} \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is said to be compactly Lipschitz (for short, $c$-Lipschitz) if, given any compact subset $Q$ of $\mathbb{R} \times \hat{N}$, there exists $L \geq 0$ such that

$$|g(t, \varphi) - g(t, \psi)| \leq L|\varphi - \psi|$$

for all $(t, \varphi) , (t, \psi) \in Q$. Moreover, we will say that $g$ is locally $c$-Lipschitz if for any $(\tau, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \hat{N}$ there exists an open neighborhood of $(\tau, \eta)$ in which $g$ is $c$-Lipschitz. In spite of the fact that a locally Lipschitz map is not necessarily (globally) Lipschitz, one could actually show that if $g$ is locally $c$-Lipschitz, then it is also (globally) $c$-Lipschitz. As a consequence, if $g$ is $C^1$ or, more generally, locally Lipschitz in the second variable, then it is additionally $c$-Lipschitz. In [4] we proved that if $g$ is a $c$-Lipschitz functional field, then problem (2.2) has a unique maximal solution for any $\eta \in \hat{N}$. For a characterisation of compact subsets of $\hat{N}$ see e.g. [9, Part 1, IV.6.5].

4. Retarded Functional Motion Equations

Let $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^s$ be a boundaryless smooth manifold and let

$$TM = \{(q, v) \in \mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{R}^s : q \in M, v \in T_qM\}$$

be the tangent bundle of $M$. Given $q \in M$, let $(T_qM)^\perp \subseteq \mathbb{R}^s$ denote the normal space of $M$ at $q$. Since $\mathbb{R}^s = T_qM \oplus (T_qM)^\perp$, any vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^s$ can be uniquely decomposed into the sum of the parallel (or tangential) component $u_\parallel \in T_qM$ of $u$ at $q$ and the normal component $u_\perp \in (T_qM)^\perp$ of $u$ at $q$. 
Consider the retarded functional motion equation on the constraint $M$
\begin{equation}
    x''_s(t) = f(t, x_t) - \varepsilon x'(t),
\end{equation}
where $x''_s(t)$ stands for the parallel component of the acceleration $x''(t) \in \mathbb{R}^s$ at the point $x(t)$, the parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ is the frictional coefficient, and the map $f: \mathbb{R} \times \tilde{M} \to \mathbb{R}^s$ is a functional field, $T$-periodic in the first variable. Any $T$-periodic solution of (4.1) is called a forced oscillation.

Theorem 4.1 below gives a positive answer to the conjecture presented by the authors in [4].

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $M$ be a compact boundaryless smooth manifold with nonzero Euler-Poincaré characteristic, and let $f: \mathbb{R} \times \tilde{M} \to \mathbb{R}^k$ be a $T$-periodic functional field on $M$. Assume that $f$ is locally Lipschitz in the second variable and has bounded image. Then, the equation (4.1) has a forced oscillation.

**Proof.** Let us observe first that the equation (4.1) can be equivalently written as
\begin{equation}
    x''(t) = r(x(t), x'(t)) + f(t, x_t) - \varepsilon x'(t),
\end{equation}
where $r: TM \to \mathbb{R}^s$ is a smooth map (the so-called reactive force or inertial reaction) satisfying the following properties:
(a) $r(q, v) \in (T_q M)^\perp$ for any $(q, v) \in TM$;
(b) $r$ is quadratic in the second variable;
(c) given $(q, v) \in TM$, $r(q, v)$ is the unique vector such that $(v, r(q, v))$ belongs to $T_{(q,0)}(TM)$;
(d) any $C^2$ curve $\gamma: (a, b) \to M$ verifies the condition $\gamma''(t) = r(\gamma(t), \gamma'(t))$ for any $t \in (a, b)$, i.e. for each $t \in (a, b)$, the normal component $\gamma''(t)$ of $\gamma'(t)$ at $\gamma(t)$ equals $r(\gamma(t), \gamma'(t))$.

Now, let us transform the second order equation (4.2) into the first order system
\begin{equation}
\begin{cases}
    x'(t) = y(t), \\
    y'(t) = r(x(t), y(t)) + f(t, x_t) - \varepsilon y(t).
\end{cases}
\end{equation}
System (4.3) is actually a first order RFDE on the noncompact manifold $TM$, since it can be written as
\begin{equation}
    (x'(t), y'(t)) = G(t, (x_t, y_t)),
\end{equation}
where the map $G: \mathbb{R} \times \tilde{TM} \to \mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{R}^s$ is the $T$-periodic functional field over $TM$ given by
\begin{equation}
    G(t, (\varphi, \psi)) = (\varphi(0), r(\varphi(0), \psi(0)) + f(t, \varphi) - \varepsilon \psi(0)).
\end{equation}
It is easy to see that equation (4.2) and system (4.3) are equivalent in the sense that a function $x: J \to M$ is a solution of (4.2) if and only if the pair $(x, x'): J \to TM$ is a solution of (4.3).

Given $c > 0$, consider the closed subset
\begin{equation}
    X_c = \{(q, v) \in TM : |v| \leq c\}
\end{equation}
of $TM$. It is not difficult to show that $X_c$ is a $\partial$-manifold in $\mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{R}^s$ with boundary
\begin{equation}
    \partial X_c = \{(q, v) \in X_c : |v| = c\}.
\end{equation}
Moreover, since $M$ is a deformation retract of $X_c$, then the two spaces are homotopically equivalent. Thus, $\chi(X_c) = \chi(M)$, so that $\chi(X_c) \neq 0$.

Observe now that $G(\mathbb{R} \times X_c)$ is a bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{R}^s$, since $f$ is bounded by assumption and $X_c$ is compact.

Let us prove that if $c$ is sufficiently large, then $G$ is away from $TM$ in $X_c$. To this end, write $X_c$ by means of the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in $\mathbb{R}^s$, as $\{(q, v) \in TM :$
Any Analogously, $T_{(q,v)}(TM)$ given by

$$C_{(q,v)}(TM) = \{(\dot{q}, \dot{v}) \in T_{(q,v)}(TM) : \langle v, \dot{v} \rangle \leq 0\}.$$  

Analogously,

$$C_{(q,v)}(TM \setminus X_c) = \{(\dot{q}, \dot{v}) \in T_{(q,v)}(TM) : \langle v, \dot{v} \rangle \geq 0\}.$$  

Take any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and any pair $(\varphi, \psi) \in X_c$ with $|\psi(0)| = c$ and consider the inner product

$$\langle \psi(0), r(\varphi(0), \psi(0)) \rangle + \langle \psi(0), f(t, \varphi) \rangle - \varepsilon \langle \psi(0), \psi(0) \rangle = 0.$$  

since $r(\varphi(0), \psi(0))$ belongs to $(T_{(\varphi(0))}M)^\perp$. Moreover,

$$\langle \psi(0), f(t, \varphi) \rangle \leq |\psi(0)| |f(t, \varphi)| \leq K |\psi(0)|,$$

where $K$ is such that $|f(t, \varphi)| \leq K$ for all $(t, \varphi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \tilde{M}$. Finally,

$$\langle \psi(0), \psi(0) \rangle = c^2,$$

since $(\varphi(0), \psi(0)) \in \partial X_c$. Therefore, by choosing $c > K/\varepsilon$, we get

$$\langle \psi(0), r(\varphi(0), \psi(0)) \rangle + f(t, \varphi) - \varepsilon \psi(0) \rangle \leq Kc - \varepsilon c^2 < 0.$$  

This shows that $G(t, (\varphi, \psi)) \notin C_{(q,v)}(TM \setminus X_c)$ for all $(t, (\varphi, \psi))$ with $(\varphi(0), \psi(0)) = (q, v) \in \partial X_c$. Thus, $G$ is away from $TM$ in $X_c$ as claimed.

Consequently, we are reduced to the context of Theorem 3.2 with $\mathbb{R}^k = \mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{R}^s$, $N = TM$, $g = G$ and the confining set $X$ given by the compact $\partial$-manifold $X_c$.

Moreover, since $f$ is locally Lipschitz in the second variable and $r$ is smooth, then $G$ is locally Lipschitz as well. Therefore, taking into account Remark 3.4, we get that the initial value problem

$$\tag{4.4} \begin{cases} (x'(t), y'(t)) = G(t, (x_t, y_t)), \\ (x_0, y_0) = (\varphi, \psi) \end{cases}$$

has a unique maximal solution for any $(\varphi, \psi) \in \tilde{TM}$.

Thus, we can apply Theorem 3.2 to the first order equation $(x'(t), y'(t)) = G(t, (x_t, y_t))$, obtaining that system (4.3) has a $T$-periodic solution and, equivalently, that the motion equation (4.1) has a forced oscillation.

\[ \square \]

Remark 4.2. We believe that the assertion of Theorem 4.1 still holds without the Lipschitz assumption.

Remark 4.3. In the frictionless case (i.e., $\varepsilon = 0$) we do not know whether or not the equation

$$\tag{4.5} x''_x(t) = f(t, x_t)$$

has a forced oscillation. As far as we know, the problem of the existence of forced oscillations of (4.5) is still open, even in the undelayed situation. In the particular case of the spherical pendulum, i.e., $X = S^2$, or, more generally, in the case of the even dimensional pendulum (i.e., $X = S^{2n}$), the existence of forced oscillations for equation (4.5) has been proved by the authors in [3], assuming the stronger hypothesis of the continuity of the functional field $f$ on $\mathbb{R} \times C((-\infty, 0], X)$. 

$\cdots$
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