

# Asymptotic Expansion of Solutions of an Elliptic Equation Related to the Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation\*

M. Franca<sup>1,2</sup> and R. Johnson<sup>3</sup>

*Received November 11, 2002*

---

We study the radially symmetric blow-up solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. We give a method for developing such a solution in a series which represents it asymptotically.

---

**KEY WORDS:** Nonlinear Schrödinger equation; blow-up solution; asymptotic series representation.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we will study the solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS)

$$\begin{aligned} i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \Delta \phi + |\phi|^{p-1} \phi &= 0 \quad (t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n) \\ \phi(0, x) &= \phi_0(x) \end{aligned} \tag{NLS}$$

which blow up in finite time. We assume that the dimension  $n$  is at least two and that  $1 + \frac{4}{n} \leq p \leq p^*$ , where  $p^* = \infty$  if  $n = 2$  and  $p^* = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  if  $n \geq 3$ . The quantity  $1 + \frac{4}{n}$  is the so-called critical exponent for the NLS. We will study

---

\* Dedicated to Victor A. Pliss on the occasion of his 70th birthday.

<sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Firenze, Viale Morgagni 67a, 50134 Firenze, Italy. E-mail: franca@math.unifi.it

<sup>2</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed.

<sup>3</sup> Dipartimento di Sistemi e Informatica, Università di Firenze, Via S. Marta, 3-50139 Firenze, Italy. E-mail: johnson@dsi.unifi.it

the asymptotic behavior of the blow-up solutions near the blow-up time, and in so doing we will refine the information on the asymptotic behavior of the solutions given in [2–4]. We will use the well-known method of dynamical scaling [5–8], then apply the classical method of asymptotic analysis [1, 9] together with the arguments of [2] to obtain our results. The method of dynamical scaling allows one to define and study an asymptotic profile for blow-up solutions; that profile is a solution of an appropriate limiting equation.

Let us review first the dynamical scaling method. Assume that the solution  $\phi$  is a radially symmetric function; then  $\phi$  depends only on  $t$  and on  $s = |x|$ . Here and below,  $|\cdot|$  denotes the euclidean norm in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that  $\phi$  blows up at a finite time  $t^*$ . We rescale as follows:

$$\xi = \frac{s}{L(t)}, \quad \tau = \int_0^t \frac{du}{L^2(u)}, \quad \phi(t, s) = \frac{1}{L(t)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}} w(\tau, \xi),$$

where  $\sigma = \frac{p-1}{2}$  and  $L(t)$  will be specified in a moment. Then  $w(\tau, \xi)$  satisfies the following equation:

$$i \frac{\partial w}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{n-1}{\xi} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \xi} + |w|^{p-1} w - ia(\tau) \left[ \frac{w}{\sigma} - \xi \frac{\partial w}{\partial \xi} \right] = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

$$w(0, \xi) = L(0)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \phi_0(L(0), \xi),$$

where  $a(\tau) = L \frac{dL}{dt} = \frac{d}{d\tau} \log(L)$ .

The idea now is to choose  $L$  (and hence  $a$ ) in such a way that the solution of (1.1) is well-behaved near the blow-up time  $t^*$ . A standard choice of  $L$  is:

$$L(t) \sim c(t^* - t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (t \nearrow t^*).$$

We then obtain  $a(\tau) \rightarrow -a_\infty$  as  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $a_\infty$  is a nonnegative real number. We are led to study the limit equation obtained by setting  $a(\tau) = -a_\infty$ :

$$i \frac{\partial w}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{n-1}{\xi} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \xi} + |w|^{p-1} w - ia_\infty \left[ \frac{w}{\sigma} - \xi \frac{\partial w}{\partial \xi} \right] = 0.$$

As suggested in [5–7], we now set

$$w(\tau, \xi) = e^{ic_\infty \tau} Q(\xi).$$

Then the “profile”  $Q(\xi)$  satisfies the equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{n-1}{\xi} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \xi} - c_\infty Q + |Q|^{p-1} Q - ia_\infty \left[ \frac{Q}{\sigma} + \xi \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \xi} \right] &= 0 \\ \frac{dQ}{d\xi}(0) &= 0, \quad 0 \neq Q(0) \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

We will consider the case  $a_\infty > 0$ , whose analysis is rendered more complicated by the oscillation of the corresponding solutions of (1.2).

As suggested in [2], we put

$$Q(\xi) = q(\xi) e^{-\frac{i}{4} a_\infty \xi^2}.$$

We obtain the following equation for  $q(\xi)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 q}{d\xi^2} + \frac{n-1}{\xi} \frac{dq}{d\xi} - c_\infty q + |q|^{p-1} q + \frac{1}{4} a_\infty^2 \xi^2 q + ia_\infty \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma} - \frac{n}{2} \right] q &= 0 \\ \frac{dq}{d\xi} &= 0, \quad 0 \neq q(0) \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Next introduce the quantities

$$u(r) = c_\infty^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} q(\xi), \quad r = \sqrt{c_\infty} \xi, \quad \lambda = \frac{a_\infty^2}{4c_\infty^2}, \quad B = \left( \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \frac{a_\infty}{c_\infty}.$$

Observe that  $r$  is a time-scaled version of the norm  $|x|$  of  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . One sees that  $u(r)$  satisfies the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} u''(r) &= \frac{n-1}{r} u'(r) + (\lambda r^2 - 1) u(r) + |u(r)|^{p-1} u(r) - i B u(r) = 0 \\ u'(0) &= 0, \quad 0 \neq u(0) \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

In the critical case when  $p = 1 + \frac{4}{n}$ , we obtain  $B = 0$ , and then (1.4) takes the simpler form

$$\begin{aligned} u''(r) + \frac{n-1}{r} u'(r) + (\lambda r^2 - 1) u(r) + |u(r)|^{p-1} u(r) &= 0 \\ u'(0) &= 0, \quad 0 \neq u(0) \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we study the asymptotic behavior of solutions of Eq. (1.5). We will use the classical

methods of [1, 9] together with the result of [2]. Then, in Section 3, we will analyze the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of (1.4). We use again the method of [9]; however the discussion is rendered more complicated by the presence of the term  $-iBu(r)$ .

The second author would like to thank Prof. Xingbin Pan for consultations on an early draft of this paper.

## 2. THE CRITICAL CASE

We study the behavior as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  of the solution of the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} u''(r) + \frac{n-1}{u}(r) + (\lambda r^2 - 1)u(r) + |u(r)|^{p-1}u(r) &= 0 \\ u'(0) &= 0, \quad 0 \neq u(0) \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

The local existence and uniqueness of solutions of (1.5) can be proved using the contraction mapping theorem. It can also be proved that solutions exist on  $0 < r < \infty$ . Our main interest is in the case  $p = 1 + \frac{4}{n}$ , but our analysis will be valid for all  $p \geq 1 + \frac{4}{n}$ .

Let us first review the discussion of (1.5) which is given in [2]. Define

$$v(r) = r^{\frac{n-1}{2}} u(r), \quad k = \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{4}.$$

Then

$$v'' + \left[ \lambda r^2 - 1 - \frac{k}{r^2} - r^{-\frac{(n-1)(p-1)}{2}} |v|^{p-1} \right] v = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Next set

$$t = r^2, \quad x(t) = v(r), \quad y(t) = \frac{dx}{dt},$$

so that (2.1) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= y \\ y' &= -\frac{\lambda}{4}x - \frac{y}{2t} + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{t} + \frac{k}{t^2} \right) x - \frac{1}{4} t^{-\sigma} |x|^{p-1} x, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where the prime ' in (2.2) denotes the differentiation with respect to  $t$ , and  $\sigma = 1 + \frac{1}{4}(n-1)(p-1)$ .

The first step in the asymptotic analysis of the solutions of (2.2) is carried out in [2]. Introduce the Lyapunov-type function

$$H(t) = \frac{y^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{8} x^2 - \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{1}{t} + \frac{k}{t^2} \right) x^2 + \frac{|x|^{p+1}}{4(p+1)t^\sigma}.$$

Then

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = -\frac{y^2}{2t} + \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{2k}{t^3} \right) x^2 - \frac{\sigma}{4(p+1)} \frac{|x|^{p+1}}{t^{\sigma+1}}.$$

We can find  $t_0 > 0$  with the property that, if  $t \geq t_0$ , then  $H(t) > 0$  and

$$\frac{H'(t)}{H(t)} \leq \frac{4}{\lambda t^2} \quad \text{if } t \text{ is large.}$$

It follows that  $H(t)$  is bounded for  $t \geq t_0$ , so  $x(t)$  and  $y(t)$  exist for all  $t \geq t_0$  and are bounded as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

Next introduce the polar variable  $\rho, \theta$  defined by

$$x = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \rho \cos \theta, \quad y = \rho \sin \theta.$$

Arguing as in [2], one shows that

$$\rho(t) = \rho^* t^{-\frac{1}{4}} + O(t^{-\frac{1}{4}-\beta}) \quad (2.3)$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\beta = \min\{1, \frac{1}{4}(n-1)(p-1)\}$  and  $\rho^*$  is a positive constant. Although it is not noted explicitly in [2], one can improve (2.3) by substituting it in [2, Eq. (3.8), p. 780]; one obtains

$$\rho(t) = \rho^* t^{-\frac{1}{4}} + O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}-\beta}) \quad (t \rightarrow \infty). \quad (2.4)$$

This agrees with the remainder estimate of [3]. Our goal in the following discussion is that of obtaining a complete asymptotic expansion of a given solution of (2.2). With respect to this complete expansion, the relation (2.4) will correspond to the zeroth-order information.

We begin by studying the linear equation obtained by omitting the nonlinear term  $\frac{1}{4} t^{-\sigma} |x|^{p-1} x$  in Eq. (2.2). Writing this linear equation in second-order form, we have

$$x'' + \frac{1}{2t} x' + \left( \frac{\lambda}{4} - \frac{1}{4t} - \frac{k}{4t^2} \right) x = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Putting  $z = x \cdot t^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ , one obtains

$$z'' + \left[ \frac{\lambda}{4} - \frac{1}{4t} + \frac{1}{t^2} \left( \frac{3}{16} - \frac{k}{4} \right) \right] z = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

If we write

$$q(t) = \frac{\lambda}{4} - \frac{1}{4t} + \frac{1}{t^2} \left( \frac{3}{16} - \frac{k}{4} \right) = q_0 + \frac{q_1}{t} + \frac{q_2}{t^2},$$

then (2.6) has the form  $z'' + q(t)z = 0$ , and we are in the position to study the normal solutions [1, pp. 61 ff] of (2.6).

We briefly recall how the normal solutions are constructed; for details see [1]. First write

$$z = e^{\omega t} t^{-\rho} g$$

and plug this quantity into (2.6). Then

$$\omega^2 + q_0 = 0 = \omega^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}$$

$$-2\omega\rho + q_1 = 0 = -2\omega\rho - \frac{1}{4}.$$

One obtains the solutions  $\pm\omega = \pm \frac{i\sqrt{\lambda}}{2}$ ,  $\pm\rho = \frac{\pm i}{4\sqrt{\lambda}}$ .

Following the calculations of [1], one deduces that there exist two linearly independent solutions  $x_{\pm}$  of (2.2)

$$x_{\pm} \sim t^{-\frac{1}{4}} e^{\pm\omega t} t^{\mp\rho} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_k^{\pm}}{t^k}. \quad (2.7)$$

In particular the 0th order term  $x_{\pm}$  is of the form

$$\text{const} \times t^{-\frac{1}{4}} \exp \left[ \pm i \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} t + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} \ln t \right) \right].$$

We return now to the nonlinear system (2.2), and give a general procedure for analyzing the corrections to this term in the expansion (2.7) which arise from the presence of the nonlinearity  $-\frac{1}{4} t^{-\sigma} |x|^{p-1} x$ . The nonlinearity is not analytic in  $x$ , so standard techniques cannot be directly applied. So we develop a method adapted to the problem at hand.

It is easiest to present the procedure in an abstract framework. Consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{x}' &= A(t) \underline{x} + f(t, \underline{x}) & (t \geq t_0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n) \\ \underline{x}(t_0) &= \hat{\underline{x}}\end{aligned}\quad (2.8)$$

where  $f(t, \underline{x})$  is smooth enough in its arguments to guarantee local existence and uniqueness of solutions of (2.8). We suppose that  $A(\cdot)$  is analytic in an open sector  $S$  in the complex  $t$ -plane, with vertex at  $\infty$  and containing the semi-axis  $\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid t \geq t_0\}$ . We further suppose that  $A(\cdot)$  admits an asymptotic expansion valid in the sector  $S$ :

$$A(t) \sim A_0 + \frac{A_1}{t} + \frac{A_2}{t^2} + \dots$$

Let  $\Phi(t)$  be the matrix solution of  $\underline{x}' = A(t) \underline{x}$  which satisfies  $\Phi(t_0) = I$ ; we suppose that  $\Phi(t)$  satisfies

$$\Phi(t) = t^{-\alpha} \Psi(t), \quad (2.9)$$

where  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\Psi(t)$  together with  $\Psi(t)^{-1}$  are bounded as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

Turning to the nonlinear function  $f$ , we assume that

$$f(t, \underline{x}) = t^{-\sigma} g(t, \underline{x}) \quad (t \geq t_0) \quad (2.10)$$

where  $\sigma > 1$  and  $g$  satisfies

$$|g(t, \underline{x})| = O(|\underline{x}|^p) \quad (|\underline{x}| \rightarrow 0). \quad (2.11)$$

Here  $p > 1$ , and the estimate is assumed uniform for  $t \geq t_0$ . We further assume that  $g(t, 0) = 0$ , and that  $g$  is Lipschitz in the following sense with respect to the variable  $x$ :

$$|g(t, \underline{x} + \underline{y}) - g(t, \underline{x})| \leq M(\underline{x}) |\underline{y}| \quad \text{where } M(\underline{x}) = O(|\underline{x}|^{p-1}) \quad (|\underline{x}| \rightarrow 0). \quad (2.12)$$

Now suppose that  $\underline{x}(t)$  is a solution of (2.8) which satisfies

$$|\underline{x}(t)| = O(t^{-\alpha}) \quad (t \rightarrow \infty). \quad (2.13)$$

By the variation of constants formula:

$$\underline{x}(t) = \Phi(t) \hat{\underline{x}} + \int_{t_0}^t \Phi(t) \Phi(s)^{-1} f(s, \underline{x}(s)) ds$$

where  $\hat{x}(t) = \underline{x}(t_0)$ . It is convenient to rewrite this expression as

$$\underline{x}(t) = \underline{x}_0(t) + \int_{\infty}^t \Phi(t) \Phi(s)^{-1} f(s, \underline{x}(s)) ds \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{x}_0(t) &= \Phi(t) \hat{x} + \underline{x}_c(t) \\ \underline{x}_c(t) &= \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \Phi(t) \Phi(s)^{-1} f(s, \underline{x}(s)) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

We see that the “correction” term  $\underline{x}_c(t)$  is a solution of the linear equation  $\underline{x}' = A(t) \underline{x}$ . It is well defined because of (2.10), (2.11), and (2.13), and in fact  $|\underline{x}_c(t)| = O(t^{-\alpha})$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . In principle it can be computed with arbitrary accuracy by approximating the integral  $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \Phi(t) \Phi(s)^{-1} f(s, \underline{x}(s)) ds$  and solving the linear equation  $\underline{x}' = A(t) \underline{x}$ .

Define now

$$\underline{y}_1(t) = \underline{x}(t) - \underline{x}_0(t) = \int_{\infty}^t \Phi(t) \Phi(s)^{-1} f(s, \underline{x}(s)) ds,$$

so that  $\underline{x}(t) = \underline{x}_0(t) + \underline{y}_1(t)$ . Using the estimates (2.10), (2.11), and (2.13), we see that

$$|\underline{y}_1(t)| = O(t^{-\sigma-\alpha p+1}).$$

Writing

$$f_1(t, \underline{y}) = f(t, \underline{x}_0(t) + \underline{y}) - f(t, \underline{x}_0(t)) \quad (t \geq t_0)$$

we see that

$$\underline{y}_1(t) = \int_{\infty}^t \Phi(t) \Phi(s)^{-1} f(s, \underline{x}_0(s)) ds + \int_{\infty}^t \Phi(t) \Phi(s)^{-1} f_1(s, \underline{y}_1(s)) ds.$$

Using the estimate (2.12), we have

$$|f_1(t, \underline{y}_1(t))| = O(t^{-\sigma} \cdot t^{-\alpha(p-1)} \cdot t^{-\sigma-\alpha p+1}) = O(t^{-2\sigma-2\alpha p+\alpha+1}).$$



Next write

$$\underline{x}_1(t) = \int_{\infty}^t \Phi(t) \Phi(s)^{-1} f(s, \underline{x}_0(s)) ds$$

$$\underline{y}_2(t) = \int_{\infty}^t \Phi(t) \Phi(s)^{-1} f_1(s, \underline{y}_1(s)) ds.$$

Then  $\underline{y}_1 = \underline{x}_1 + \underline{y}_2$  and  $\underline{x} = \underline{x}_0 + \underline{x}_1 + \underline{y}_2$ . The following estimates hold:

$$|\underline{x}_1(t)| = O(t^{-\sigma-\alpha p+1})$$

$$|\underline{y}_2(t)| = O(t^{-2\sigma-2\alpha p+\alpha+2}).$$

It is now clear how to continue the development of  $\underline{x}(t)$ . For each  $k = 2, 3, \dots$  we write

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(t, \underline{y}) &= f_{k-1}(t, \underline{x}_{k-1}(t) + \underline{y}) - f_{k-1}(t, \underline{x}_{k-1}(t)) \\ &= f(t, \underline{x}_0 + \dots + \underline{x}_{k-1}(t) + \underline{y}) - f(t, \underline{x}_0 + \dots + \underline{x}_{k-1}(t)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\underline{x}_k(t) = \int_{\infty}^t \Phi(t) \Phi(s)^{-1} f_{k-1}(s, \underline{x}_{k-1}(s)) ds,$$

$$\underline{y}_{k+1}(t) = \int_{\infty}^t \Phi(t) \Phi(s)^{-1} f_k(s, \underline{y}_k(s)) ds.$$

Then  $\underline{y}_k = \underline{x}_k + \underline{y}_{k+1}$  and

$$\underline{x} = \underline{x}_0 + \dots + \underline{x}_k + \underline{y}_{k+1}. \quad (2.16)$$

For each  $k \geq 1$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |\underline{x}_k(t)| &= O(t^{-k\sigma-k\alpha p+k+(k-1)\alpha}) \\ |\underline{y}_k(t)| &= O(t^{-k\sigma-k\alpha p+k+(k-1)\alpha}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Since  $\sigma > 1$  and  $p > 1$ , we see that the development (2.16) gives rise to an asymptotic expansion in (perhaps fractional) powers of  $t$  of the solution  $\underline{x}(t)$  of (2.8). Of course  $\underline{x}(t)$  must satisfy the *a priori* bound (2.13).

Let us note that, if  $\underline{x}_k(t)$  vanishes identically for some  $k \geq 1$ , then  $\underline{x}_l(t) \equiv 0$  for all  $l \geq k$ . In this case we have

$$\underline{x}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \underline{x}_l(t) + \underline{r}(t)$$

where  $\underline{r}(t)$  is small to all orders of  $t$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . This amplifies the discussion in [3] of relation (3.25) of that paper.

Let us return to the blow-up solution of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation which motivated our discussion. Set  $\sigma = 1 + \frac{n-1}{n}$ ,  $p = 1 + \frac{4}{n}$  and  $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}$ . Combining (2.7) and (2.15), and letting  $x(t)$  denote the first component of the vector  $\underline{x}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix}$ , we see that

$$x(t) = x_0(t) + x_1(t) + \cdots + x_n(t) + \cdots$$

where

$$x_0(t) = at^{-\frac{1}{4}} \cos \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} t + \frac{t}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} + b \right)$$

for constants  $a$  and  $b$ , and

$$|x_n(t)| = O(t^{-n}) \qquad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Observe in particular that the linear contribution  $x_0(t)$  is determined by the constants  $a$  and  $b$ . All the remaining terms in the expansion are thus determined when  $a$  and  $b$  are known.

### 3. THE SUPER-CRITICAL CASE

Now we study Eq. (1.4) when  $B > 0$ . We first review some preliminary calculations which are given in [2]. Write  $u = u_1 + iu_2$  where  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are real quantities. Then

$$\begin{aligned} u_1'' + \frac{n-1}{r} u_1' + (\lambda r^2 - 1) u_1 + (u_1^2 + u_2^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} u_1 + Bu_2 &= 0 \\ u_2'' + \frac{n-1}{r} u_2' + (\lambda r^2 - 1) u_2 + (u_1^2 + u_2^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} u_2 - Bu_1 &= 0 \\ u_1'(0) = u_2'(0) = 0, \qquad u_2(0) = 0, \qquad u_1(0) = u_0 \neq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Introduce the quantities

$$t = r^2, \qquad \sigma = 1 + \frac{1}{4} (n-1)(p-1), \qquad k = \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{4}.$$

Then writing  $x_j(t) = r^{\frac{n-1}{2}} u_j(r)$ ,  $y_j(t) = \frac{dx_j}{dt}$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ), one obtains from (3.1):

$$\begin{aligned} x_1' &= y_1 \\ y_1' &= -\frac{\lambda}{4} x_1 + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{t} + \frac{k}{t^2} \right) x_1 - \frac{y_1}{2t} - \frac{B}{4t} x_2 - \frac{1}{4} t^{-\sigma} (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} x_1 \\ x_2' &= y_2 \\ y_2' &= -\frac{\lambda}{4} x_2 + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{t} + \frac{k}{t^2} \right) x_2 - \frac{y_2}{2t} + \frac{B}{4t} x_1 - \frac{1}{4} t^{-\sigma} (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} x_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Here the prime ' indicates differentiation with respect to  $t$ . Writing the linear part of (3.2) in vector form with

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

we obtain

$$z' = \left( C_0 + \frac{C_1}{t} + \frac{C_2}{t^2} \right) z$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{\lambda}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{\lambda}{4} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & C_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{B}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{B}{4} & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ C_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{k}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{k}{4} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We diagonalize  $A_0$  via the transformation  $z = Qw$ , where

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -i\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} & 0 & i\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -i\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} & 0 & i\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} \end{pmatrix};$$

then

$$Q^{-1}C_0Q = \begin{pmatrix} -i\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q^{-1}C_1Q = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{i}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} & -\frac{iB}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} & \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} & -\frac{iB}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} \\ \frac{iB}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} & -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{i}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} & \frac{iB}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} & \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} \\ \frac{1}{4} - \frac{i}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} & \frac{iB}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} & -\frac{1}{4} - \frac{i}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} & \frac{iB}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} \\ -\frac{iB}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} & \frac{1}{4} - \frac{i}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} & -\frac{iB}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} & -\frac{1}{4} - \frac{i}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Writing  $\hat{A}_i = Q^{-1}C_iQ$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) we obtain

$$w' = \left( \hat{A}_0 + \frac{\hat{A}_1}{t} + \frac{\hat{A}_2}{t^2} \right) w. \quad (3.3)$$

We now apply the method expounded in [W, pp. 54–55] to formally block-diagonalize Eq. (3.3). One looks for a change of variables of the form

$$w = P(t) \xi, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $P(\cdot)$  is analytic in a sector  $S$  in the complex  $t$ -plane which has vertex at  $t = \infty$  and which contains some real segment  $\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid t \geq t_0\}$ . It is required that  $P$  admit a formal series expansion in the sector  $S$ :

$$P(t) \sim I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{P_k}{t^k}, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $I$  is the  $4 \times 4$  identity matrix and each  $P_k$  has the form

$$P_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_k^{12} \\ P_k^{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.6)$$

with  $2 \times 2$  blocks  $P_k^{12}$ ,  $P_k^{21}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). It turns out that one can find a sector  $S$  satisfying the condition above, together with a  $4 \times 4$  matrix function  $P(\cdot)$  which is holomorphic in  $S$  and which admits an asymptotic expansion in  $S$ , satisfying (3.5) and (3.6), such that, in the  $\xi$ -variable, (3.3) has the form

$$\xi' = A(t) \xi \quad (3.7)$$

where  $A(t)$  is holomorphic in  $S$ , and admits an asymptotic expansion in  $S$  of the form

$$A(t) \sim A_0 + \frac{A_1}{t} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{A_k}{t^k}. \quad (3.8)$$

Furthermore  $P(\cdot)$  can be chosen so that  $A$  is block-diagonal with  $2 \times 2$  blocks (it follows that each  $A_k$  is block-diagonal as well), and so that

$$A_0 = C_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-i\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{-i\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{i\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{i\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^{11} & 0 \\ 0 & A_1^{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_1^{11} & 0 \\ 0 & C_1^{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$A_1^{11} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{i}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} & -\frac{iB}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} \\ \frac{iB}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} & -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{i}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_1^{22} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} - \frac{i}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} & \frac{iB}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} \\ -\frac{iB}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} & -\frac{1}{4} - \frac{i}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} \end{pmatrix} = \overline{A_1^{11}}.$$

Now set

$$\beta = \frac{B}{4\sqrt{\lambda}}.$$

By choosing the constant  $c_{\infty}$  in the introduction in an appropriate way, we can and will arrange that

$$\beta = \frac{n}{4} - \frac{1}{p-1}.$$

We see that, when  $p$  lies in the range  $1 + \frac{4}{n} \leq p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ ,  $\beta$  lies in the interval  $[0, \frac{1}{2})$ . The eigenvalues of the matrices  $A_1^{11}$  and  $A_1^{22}$  are respectively

$-\frac{1}{4}\pm\beta+\frac{i}{4\sqrt{\lambda}}$  and  $-\frac{1}{4}\pm\beta-\frac{i}{4\sqrt{\lambda}}$ . Observe that if  $\beta\in(0,\frac{1}{2})$ , the eigenvalues do not differ by an integer. Observe further that, if  $\beta=0$ , we are in the critical case studied in Section 2. Assume from now on that  $0<\beta<\frac{1}{2}$ .

We apply the results of [9] to the system (3.7) to determine a matrix solution  $\Phi(t)$ , which is holomorphic in an open subsector  $S'$  of  $S$  containing  $\{t\in\mathbb{R}\mid t\geqslant t_0\}$ , and which takes the form

$$\Phi(t)=\hat{\Phi}(t)\,t^{A_1}e^{A_0t}\tag{3.9}$$

where  $\hat{\Phi}$  admits an asymptotic expansion in  $S'$  of the form

$$\hat{\Phi}(t)\sim\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\Phi_k}{t^k}.$$

See especially [9, Theorem 5.5, p. 25] and the discussion of [9, pp. 100–101].

Let us assume from now on that  $t_0>0$ . Multiplying  $\Phi(t)$  on the right by an appropriate constant matrix  $K$ , we can assume that  $\Phi(t_0)=I$ . It is clear that  $\Phi(t)=t^{-\frac{1}{4}+\beta}\Psi_1(t)$  and  $\Phi(t)^{-1}=t^{\frac{1}{4}+\beta}\Psi_2(t)$ , where  $\Psi_1(t)$  and  $\Psi_2(t)$  are matrix functions which are bounded for  $t\geqslant t_0$ .

We now carry out an analysis similar to that of Section 2; the main difference will consist in the corrections due to the presence of the quantity  $\beta$ . Let us write

$$\hat{f}(t,z)=\begin{pmatrix}0\\-\frac{1}{4}t^{-\sigma}(x_1^2+x_2^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}x_1\\0\\-\frac{1}{4}t^{-\sigma}(x_1^2+x_2^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}x_2\end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$f(t,\xi)=\hat{f}(t,P(t)Q\xi).$$

Then (3.2) takes the form

$$\xi'=A(t)\,\xi+f(t,\xi).\tag{3.10}$$

Observe that

$$|f(t,\xi)|=O(t^{-\sigma}\,|\xi|^p)\qquad\text{as }t\rightarrow\infty,\tag{3.11}$$

uniformly in  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^4$ . Observe further that

$$|f(t, \xi + \eta) - f(t, \xi)| \leq t^{-\sigma} M(\xi) \eta \quad (3.12)$$

where  $M(\xi) = O(|\xi|^{p-1})$  as  $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let us now apply the scheme developed in Section 2. Let

$$w(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \\ y_1(t) \\ y_2(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

be the solution of Eq. (3.2) which corresponds to the initial conditions indicated in (3.1).

According to [2; relation following Eq. (2.43)], we have for  $j = 1, 2$ :

$$x_j(t) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \rho^* t^{-\frac{1}{4}+\beta} e^{-\beta\epsilon(t)} \cos \theta_j(t) + O(t^{-\frac{1}{4}+\beta})$$

$$y_i(t) = \rho^* t^{-\frac{1}{4}+\beta} e^{-\beta\epsilon(t)} \cos \theta_j(t) + O(t^{-\frac{1}{4}-\beta}).$$

Here  $\epsilon(t)$  is a positive function which tends to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and furthermore

$$\theta_1(t) = -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} t + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} \log t + \frac{c-\theta_0}{2} + \beta\mu(t) + O(t^{-2\beta})$$

$$\theta_2(t) = -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} t + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} \log t + \frac{c+\theta_0}{2} - \beta\mu(t) + O(t^{-2\beta}),$$

where  $\mu(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ : indeed  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\epsilon(t)}{\mu^2(t)} = 2\beta$ . The constant  $\theta_0$  depends on  $u_0$ .

We now refine these asymptotic relations in a way that seems to shed some light on the behavior, for large  $t$ , of the functions  $\epsilon(t)$  and  $\mu(t)$ . Let  $\bar{\xi}$  be the initial condition which corresponds to  $w(t_0)$ ; explicitly  $w(t_0) = P(t_0) Q \bar{\xi}$ . Moreover let  $\xi(t)$  be defined by  $w(t) = P(t) Q \xi(t)$ , so that  $|\xi(t)| = O(t^{-\frac{1}{4}+\beta})$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . We set

$$\xi_0(t) = \Phi(t) \bar{\xi} + \xi_c(t)$$

where

$$\xi_c(t) = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \Phi(t) \Phi(s)^{-1} f(s, \xi(s)) ds.$$

Then

$$|\xi_0(t)| = O(t^{-\frac{1}{4}+\beta}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next we set

$$\eta_1(t) = \xi(t) - \xi_0(t) = \int_{\infty}^t \Phi(t) \Phi(s)^{-1} f(s, \xi(s)) ds.$$

One then has from (3.11)

$$|\eta_1(t)| = O(t^\gamma) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$\gamma = \beta + \beta(1+p) - \sigma - \frac{p}{4} + 1.$$

Now one also has

$$\left(-\frac{1}{4} + \beta\right) - \gamma = \frac{p+1}{p-1} - \frac{n}{2} := \tau$$

where the quantity  $\tau = \frac{p+1}{p-1} - \frac{n}{2}$  is positive if  $p$  takes values in the interval  $[1 + \frac{4}{n}, \frac{n+2}{n-2})$ . This means that  $\gamma < -\frac{1}{4} + \beta$  when  $p$  takes values in this interval.

Next set

$$\xi_1(t) = \int_{\infty}^t \Phi(t) \Phi(s)^{-1} f(s, \xi_0(s)) ds$$

$$f_1(t, \eta) = f(t, \xi_0(t) + \eta) - f(t, \xi_0(t))$$

$$\eta_2(t) = \int_{\infty}^t \Phi(t) \Phi(s)^{-1} f_1(s, \eta_1(s)) ds,$$

and observe that

$$\eta_1(t) = \xi_1(t) + \eta_2(t)$$

$$\xi(t) = \xi_0(t) + \xi_1(t) + \eta_2(t).$$



Using (3.12), we obtain

$$|\eta_2(t)| = O(t^{\gamma-\varepsilon}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

It is now clear how to construct further approximations to the solution  $\xi(t)$ . For each  $k \geq 1$  we have

$$\xi(t) = \xi_0 + \xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_k + \eta_{k+1}(t)$$

where  $|\xi_k(t)| = O(t^{-\frac{1}{4}+\beta-k\varepsilon})$ ,  $|\eta_{k+1}(t)| = O(t^{\gamma-k\varepsilon})$  for  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Returning to the variables  $x_j$ ,  $y_j$ , via the transformation  $w = P(t) Q\xi$ , and keeping in mind that  $P(t) \rightarrow I$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain developments for these quantities as well.

## REFERENCES

1. Erdelyi, A. (1956). *Asymptotic Expansions*, Dover, New York.
2. Johnson, R., and Pan, X. (1993). On an elliptic equation related to the blow-up phenomenon in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **123**, 763–782.
3. Kavian, O., and Weissler, F. (1994). Self similar solutions of the pseudo-conformally invariant nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Michigan Math. J.* **41**, 151–173.
4. Kopell, N., and Landman, M. (1995). Spatial structure of the focusing singularity of the cubic Schrödinger equation: A geometrical analysis. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **55**, 1297–1323.
5. Le Mesurier, B., Papanicolau, G., Sulem, C., and Sulem, P. (1981). The focusing singularity of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. In Crandall, M., *et al.*, (eds.), *Directions in Partial Differential Equations*, Academic Press, New York, pp. 159–201.
6. Le Mesurier, B., Papanicolau, G., Sulem, C., and Sulem, P. (1988). Focusing and multi-focusing solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Phys. D* **31**, 78–102.
7. Le Mesurier, B., Papanicolau, G., Sulem, C., and Sulem, P. (1988). Local structure of the self-focusing solution of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Phys. D* **32**, 210–226.
8. McLaughlin, D., Papanicolau, G., Sulem, C., and Sulem, P. (1986). Focusing singularity of the cubic Schrödinger equation. *Phys. Rev. A* **34**, 1200–1210.
9. Wasow, W. (1965). *Asymptotic Expansion for Ordinary Differential Equations*, Interscience Publishers, New York.